

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 AEVOE CORP., a California corporation,)

4)
5 Plaintiff,)

6 vs.)

Case No.: 2:12-cv-00053-GMN-NJK

ORDER

7 AE TECH CO., LTD., a Taiwan corporation;)

8 S&F Corporation dba SF PLANET)

9 CORPORATION, a Minnesota corporation,)

10 and GREATSHIELD INC., a Minnesota)

corporation,)

Defendants.)

11 Pending before the Court is the Motion for Summary Judgment of False Marking (ECF
12 No. 409) filed by Defendants AE Tech Co. Ltd, S&F Corporation and GreatShield Inc.
13 (collectively, “Defendants”). Plaintiff Aevoe Corp. (“Plaintiff”) filed a Response (ECF No.
14 453) and Defendants filed a Reply (ECF No. 467).

15 Also pending before the Court is Plaintiff’s Motion to Strike Defendants’ Motion for
16 Summary Judgment. (ECF No. 439.) Defendants filed a Response (ECF No. 460) and Plaintiff
17 filed a Reply (ECF No. 464).

18 **I. DEFENDANTS’ MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT**

19 After Defendants filed the instant motion, the Court dismissed Defendants’ False
20 Marking Counterclaim with prejudice. (*See* Order on Mot. to Dismiss 4:8–7:19, ECF No. 512.)
21 Accordingly, Defendants’ Motion for Summary Judgment of False Marking is hereby DENIED
22 as MOOT.

23 **II. PLAINTIFF’S MOTION TO STRIKE**

24 Plaintiff requests that the Court strike Defendants’ two motions for summary judgment
25 (ECF Nos. 409, 425) because these two motions collectively number forty-two pages, in


1 violation of the thirty page limit found in Local Rule 7-4. (Mot. to Strike 2:12-16, ECF No.
2 439.) Because the Court finds that Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment of False
3 Marking (ECF No. 409) is MOOT, the Court finds that Defendants' remaining Motion for
4 Summary Judgment (ECF No. 425) complies with the thirty page limit of Local Rule 7-4.
5 Thus, the Court concludes that Plaintiff's Motion to Strike is also MOOT.

6 **III. CONCLUSION**

7 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment of False
8 Marking (ECF No. 409) is **DENIED** as **MOOT**.

9 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that Plaintiff's Motion to Strike (ECF No. 439) is
10 **DENIED** as **MOOT**.

11 **DATED** this 14 day of April, 2014.

12
13 
14 _____
15 Gloria M. Navarro, Chief Judge
16 United States District Judge
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25